Docket No.: S63.2B-10814-US01

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Steve Kangas Application No.: 10/658729

Filed: September 9, 2003

Patent No.: 7544381
Issue Date: June 9, 2009

For: Lubricious Coatings for Medical Device

Examiner: Cachet I. Sellman

Group Art Unit: 1792

Mail Stop _____ Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Application for Patent Term Adjustment, 37 CFR 1.705

The patentee hereby requests reconsideration, under 35 USC 154 (d), of the Determination of Patent Term Adjustment made by the Director as indicated on the Issue Notification and on the face of US 7544381, issued 06/09/2009, copies of which are attached hereto.

As indicated on the face of the patent and on the Issue Notification, the adjustment to patent term is 1036 days. Also attached is the PAIR record showing the basis for this determination. The determination is incorrect.

The application was filed on September 9, 2003 and so is entitled to the benefit of the current version of 35 U.S.C. 154. The determination fails to follow the calculation method required by law as determined in *Wyeth v. Dudas*, 88 USPQ2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008), currently on appeal to the Federal Circuit, which is controlling law for the issues presented herein.

This Application constitutes a request that the patent term adjustment be made in accordance with the determination method described in the *Wyeth* case. Applicant also requests that the decision on this petition be held in abeyance pending final adjudication of the *Wyeth* case.

- (1) This request is accompanied by the fee set forth in §37 CFR 1.18(e).
- (2) This request is timely filed as it is being filed on 8/10/2009. The patent issued 6/19/2009 and the two month date for petitioning the commissioner fell on a Sunday, 8/09/2009. Under the patent statutes, the patentee is entitled to file this petition on the Monday immediately following the Sunday due date. This request could not have been filed prior to the issuance of the patent as the "three year" delay days could not have been determined until the issuance date. To that end, Petitioner notes that a petition filed by this law firm prior to the issue date, in application 10/658729, was held in abeyance pending issuance of the patent in a decision in which the Office stated:

As the instant application for patent term adjustment requests reconsideration of the patent term adjustment as it relates to the Office's failure to issue the patent within 3 years of the filing date, a decision is being held in abeyance until after the actual patent date. Knowledge of the actual date the patent issues is required to calculate the amount, if any, of additional patent term patentee is entitled to for Office failure to issue the patent within 3 years. See § 1.703(b).

and further:

Rather than file the request for reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment at the time of the mailing of the notice of allowance, applicant is advised that they may wait until the time of the issuance of the patent and file a request for reconsideration of the patent term pursuant to 37 CFR 1.705(d). The USPTO notes that it does not calculate the amount of time earned pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(b) until the time of the issuance of the patent and accordingly, the Office will consider any request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment due to an error in the calculation of 37 CFR 1.702(b) to be timely if the request for reconsideration is filed within two months of the issuance of the patent.

(3) Statement of the facts involved:

(i) The correct patent term adjustment and the basis under 37 CFR § 1.702 for the adjustment is as follows:

USPTO delay days to the issuance of the first Office Action	1057
Applicant delay days	-21
Non-overlapping three year days (excluding days	
subsequent to filing of RCE)	+1004

(ii) The relevant dates as specified in §§ 1.703(a) through (e) for which an adjustment is sought and the adjustment as specified in § 1.703(f) to which the patent is entitled are explained as follows:

The Office calculation of 1036 days fails to recognize any "three years" delay days. As *Wyeth* establishes, this is improper. This application was pending for more than three years as of 09/09/2006. No time was consumed by an interference proceeding under 35 U.S.C. 135(a); no time was consumed by the imposition of a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181; by review by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or a Federal court. Applicant is entitled to an additional adjustment to the patent term based on the delay between the three year -date (09/09/2006) and the issue date of (06/09/2009), and adjusted to take into account overlap between the "three years" delay days and the delay beyond 14 months. In this case, the overlap period extended from **09/09/2006 to 10/02/2007**.

All delay in the processing of the application by the Office that was requested by the applicant has already been accounted for.

From and including 09/09/2003 through 06/09/2009, the date of issuance, the number of three years days was 1004 days. Subtracting the overlap of 388 days of USPTO delay falling in this same interval, for which the patent term has already been credited, and the Applicant's delay of 21 days leaves a balance of 616 days, which balance should have been added to the 1036 days calculated for the adjustment as of the date of the Determination. Thus the total adjustment that should have been shown on the face of the patent 35 USC 154 (b) was 1652 days.

- (iii) The patent is not subject to a terminal disclaimer.
- (iv) (A) The applicant does not believe that there are any circumstances during the prosecution of the application resulting in the patent that constitute a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of such application as set forth in § 1.704, other than those already accounted for in the applicant delay days noted above.

All items required under 37 CFR 1.705 having been provided herein, the applicant requests that the Patent Term Adjustment be corrected to show an adjustment of **1652** days.

Respectfully submitted,

VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS

Date: August 10, 2009 By: /Lisa Ryan-Lindquist/

Lisa R. Lindquist

Registration No.: 43071

6640 Shady Oak Rd., Suite 400 Eden Prairie, MN 55344-7834 Telephone: (952) 563-3000 Facsimile: (952) 563-3001



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

DATED STATES DEPARTMENT OF CHARMERCE Golden States Present and Trademark Office Asker: Charmes Survey for Partievis G. Son (18) Augusta Group, 2003 (20)

Alterotelatis, Varglatis, 22NOS (45%)
reneer pagategyte"

| APPLETA FROM NO | ISSUE DAYE | EATERST NO. | AYTORNEY DOU'KET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | 10658-729 | 065097289 | 7544381 | N63.2-19814-1589 | 2796

VEDAS, ARRETT & STEINKBAUS, P.A. SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344

ISSUE NOTIFICATION

The projected patent number and issue date are specified above.

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment is 1036 day(s). Any patent to issue from the above-identified application will include an indication of the adjustment on the front page.

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at (571)-272-4200.

APPLICANT(s) (Please see PAIR WEB site http://pair.uspto.gov for additional applicatus):

Steve Kangas, Woodbury, MN;



US007544381B2

(12) United States Patent

Kanga

(10) Patent No.: US 7,544,381 B2 (45) Date of Patent: Jun. 9, 2009

	Kangas		(45)
(54)	LUBRICI DEVICE	OUS COATINGS FOR MEDICAL	5.¢ 5.¢
(75)	Inventor:	Steve Kangas, Woodbury, MN (US)	53 53 63
(73)	Assignæ	Boston Scientific Science, Inc., Maple Grove, MN (US)	6.6 6.6 6.6
(*)	Notice:	Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1036 days.	6,3 6,3 6,3 6,3
(21)	Appl. No.:	18/658,729	6,4 6,4
(22)	Filed:	Sep. 9, 2003	6,3 6,0 8,1,000
(65)		Prior Publication Data	2003/0
	US 2005/0	055044.A1 Mar. 10, 2005	
(51)	Int. Ct. B05D 3/9 A61L 27/9		EP EP
(52)		24 (2006.01) 427/2.3 ; 427/558; 522/32; 522/149; 522/96; 522/109	Pourciel for Book
(58)		lassification Search 427/2.1-2.31, 427/558; 522/32, 96, 149 stion file for complete scarch history.	* cited Primar Assista
(56)	oce appac	References Cited	(74) Au P.A.
	U	S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	(57)
	4,272,620 A	* 6/198) Johinnya	Annual Control

5,662,960	A	9/1997	Hostettier et al 427/2.3
5,693,934	A	12/1997	Buscemi et al 504/265
5,849,368	A	12/1998	Hostettler et al
5.949,570	A	7/1999	Hostetiler et al 428/924.8
6.017,577	A	\$/2000	Hostetster et al 427/2.12
6,030,656	A	2/2000	Hostettler et al
6,040,658	A	3/2000	Hostettler et al
6,080,488	A	6/2000	Hostelfler et al 428/423/3
6,120,904	Δ	9/2000	Hostelfler et al 428/423/3
6,176,849	81	1/2091	Yang et al 604/265
6,265,016	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{E}$	7/2090.	Hosteffier et al 427/2.11
6,331,578	BI	12/2091	Tursser et al
6,458,867	31	10/2002	Wang et al 523/105
6,558,798	B2	573093	Zhoag et ai
6,589,215	82	7/2093	Yang et al 604/265
6,610,935	32	\$/2093	Yang et ai 604/265
309 L/8027299	AJ	10/2001	Yang et al
2002/0022194	A1	2/2002	Morigaki 430/286.1

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

æ	6373537	6/4990
\$159 \$159	9373862	6/1996

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Pousciel, Development of Photo-Polymorisable Polyvinyl Alcohol for Bootechnological Applications, Apr. 28, 2003, pp. 336-336.

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner....William Phillip Plotohor, III
Assistant Examiner....Cachet I Sellman
(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm....Vidas, Arrett & Steinkrans,
P.A.

(57) ABSTRACT

An ultraviolet curable lubricious coating including at least one lubricious polymer and at least one oxygen-insensitive crosslinkuble polymer, methods of making and using the same, and articles coated therewith.

11 Claims, 1 Drawing Sheet

4,272,620	A	* 6(398)	Johnson 525/61
4,564,580	A	1/3988	lehimura et al
4,777,134	A	10/1988	licisimum et al
4,891,360	Å.	1/1996	Ichimura et al
5.021,505	А	6/1991	Johinnua et al
5.206.133	A	4/3993	Mueller-Ress et al 439/276
5,576,072	ġ	11/1996	Bosteries et al 427/532



thereof States Peters and Frademark Office

Name | States | Seeper | FRO | Charles | Coder | Castasta | Brothers | 1902 Name | N

economic	Serpoters Freedom A	openiation individual	on Services of			*
5900	Sandara Commission		🗖 Under Geriche	s. Application. An Ellect Gride's Centralities	i Ele Mosesce 💥	New Order List
obcensor biborhiscen	49/889,739	LUBRICIOG	COATISOS PUR SEOK			159
necessa			Concern Flori Prince Them	Control Control	ARTEN A TANK	or was Down
set Resources & Support	S Strat Cabbs	ione (morre	Company Page 20 years There's Separation of August Street de	Otto (Convincion	atrony, Appli	parasono
occession accesses	Patent Term A	djustment				
	Filing or 371(c) D	ate:	69-69-2003	USPTO Delay (PTO) Delay (days):		1057
d General Soft	Tasue Date of Pate	NTÉS	06-09-2009	Timee Yearsi		-
leasas o Constantes	Pre-Issue Petition	s (days):	÷e	Applicant Delay (APPL) Delay (de	/s');	21
3001000	Post-Issue Petitio	ns (days):	÷ö	Total Patent Term Adjustment (da	ihz).	1036
	USPTO Adjustmen	it (days):	÷5	Explanation CE Casculations		
	Patent Term A	ijustment Hist	DFy .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ocations.	Date		Contents Descr	ipoi tegi	PTG(Days)	APPL(Days)
proper proper	65-29-2009	PTA 36 Months				
	06-99-2059	Patent Issue Dat	te Used in PTA Calculation			
	05-07-2009	Ospatch to FDC				
	05-09-200 9	Application Is Co	msidered Ready for Issue			
	05-95-2059	Issue Fee Payrox	ent Verified			
	05-05-2005	Issue Fee Payme	ent Faceived			
	62-11-2009	Mail Notice of Al	owance			
	62-10-2009	Notice of Alloway	nce Bata Verification Com	ಭಿಕಾಗ		
	02-03-2009	Cocument Verifi	cation"			
	11-10-2005	Date Forwarded	to Examiner			
	11-24-2008	Response after f	ton-Final Action			
	06-21-2008	Meil Non-Finel R	sjection			
	60-18-2005	Non-Shal Reject	ion			
	66-11-2009	Date Forwarded	to Examiner			
	05-15-2008	Response after f	ion-Final Action			21
	.05-15-2006	Request for Exte	nsion of Time - Granted			. 18
	61-24-2006	Mail Non-Final R	ejection			48
	01-22-2068	Nor-Final Reject	ien			
	11-27-2507	Case Docketed t	p Exeminer in GAU			
	11-19-2007	Date Forkerded	to Examiner			
	11-02-2007		ction / Restriction Filed			
	16-17-2007	Withdraw Sagge				
	10-15-2007	Flagged for 5/25				
	10-92-2057	Mail Restriction I			1057	
	.05-30-2597		Restriction / Election		4.	
	69-30-2007		s Examiner in GAU		*	
	11-24-2053		dosure Statement conside		*	
	92-28-2505	The second of the second	dosure Statement conside	. beri	*	
	09-02-2505	Mispelleneous In			*	
	02-28-2005	Reference captu	for the second of the second		*	
	92-23-2055		dosuce Statement (IOS) F			
	62-28-2005		dosure Statement (IDS) F		*	
	03-19-2004		sing by Tach Center Comp	debe	*	
	11-24-2003	Reference captu			*	
	03-09-2004		p Examiner in GAU		*	
	11-24-2003		dosone Statement (IDS) F		*	
	11-34-2053	Information Disc	dosure Statement (IDS) F	Red		
	03-09-2004		sing by Tech Center Comp	Nete	46.	
	61-69-2264	Trensfer Snquiry			*	
	12-13-2063	Reference captu			*	
	12-01-2003	Application Pate			*	
	12-01-2003	Application Resu			*	
	12-01-2003		atched from CTPE		*	
	12-01-2003	Application 1s No	-		*	
	11-21-2003	Cleaned by CIPE			*	
	99-30-2053	TEM DEBLY OF NATI	R Auto Security Review		-36	